Case5:15-cv-00602-LHK Document8 Filed02/13/15 Page1 of 4

| 1 | Brian E. Pastuszenski (pro hac vice) | |
|----------|--|--|
| 2 | bpastuszenski@goodwinprocter.com GOODWIN PROCTER LLP | |
| 3 | The New York Times Building | |
| | 620 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10018 | |
| 4 | Tel.: 212.813.8800 Fax: 212.355.3333 | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | Nicole L. Chessari (SBN 259970) nchessari@goodwinprocter.com GOODWIN PROCTER LLP | |
| 7 | GOODWIN PROCTER LLP 135 Commonwealth Drive | |
| | Menlo Park, CA 94025 | |
| 8 | Tel.: 650.752.3100 Fax: 650.853.1038 | |
| 9 | Attorneys for Defendants | |
| 10 | Xoom Corporation, John Kunze, | |
| 11 | and Ryno Blignaut | |
| 12 | Additional counsel listed on signature page | |
| 13 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 14 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | DIN GOOD DI | |
| | ALEXANDED LIVE I III I LO DI IGG | LG N 5 15 00 500 LUW |
| 17 | ALEXANDER LIU, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, | Case No. 5:15-cv-00602-LHK |
| 18 | Plaintiff, | CLASS ACTION |
| 19 | ŕ | STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO |
| 20 | VS. | RESPOND TO COMPLAINT |
| 21 | XOOM CORP., JOHN KUNZE, AND RYNO BLIGNAUT, | DATE ACTION FILED: 1/6/2015 (Removed 2/6/2015) |
| | Defendants. | (20010, 00 2, 0, 2010) |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| ا ہے | | |
| 24 | | |
| 24 25 | | |
| | | |
| 25 | | |
| 25 26 | | |

STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT

CASE No. 5:15-cv-00602-LHK

| WHEREAS, on January 6, 2015, plaintiff Alexander Liu ("Plaintiff") filed in the Superior |
|---|
| Court of the State of California, County of San Francisco, a complaint (the "Complaint") entitled |
| Alexander Liu vs. Xoom Corp., et al., Case No. CGC-15-543531, ostensibly on behalf of a class of |
| all similarly-situated individuals who purchased common stock of Xoom Corporation ("Xoom") |
| allegedly pursuant and/or traceable to Xoom's Registration Statement and Prospectus, declared |
| effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 14, 2013, issued in connection |
| with Xoom's Initial Public Offering (the "State Court Action"); |

WHEREAS, on February 6, 2015, defendants Xoom, John Kunze, and Ryno Blignaut (collectively, "Defendants") filed in this Court a Notice of Removal of the State Court Action;

WHEREAS, Defendants did not answer, move, or otherwise respond to the Complaint before filing their Notice of Removal;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff intends to move to remand this action;

WHEREAS, Defendants intend to oppose Plaintiff's motion to remand;

WHEREAS, the parties understand that Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 81(c) requires

Defendants to answer, move, or otherwise respond to the Complaint on or before February 13, 2015;

WHEREAS, no party has requested any time modifications in this action; and WHEREAS, Defendants intend to move to dismiss the Complaint.

NOW, THEREFORE, PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL RULES 6-2 AND 7-12, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the undersigned, as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff shall file his motion to remand on or before February 27, 2015;
- 2. Defendants shall file their opposition to Plaintiff's motion to remand no later than thirty (30) days after the motion to remand is filed;
- 3. Plaintiff shall file his reply brief in support of his motion to remand no later than seven (7) days after Defendants' opposition is filed;
- 4. Defendants shall not be required to answer, move to dismiss, or otherwise respond to the Complaint pending a decision by the Court on Plaintiff's motion to remand;
- 5. If the Court denies Plaintiff's motion to remand, the parties will confer in good faith regarding a schedule for filing of an amended complaint and the briefing of Defendants' motion to

Case5:15-cv-00602-LHK Document8 Filed02/13/15 Page3 of 4

| 1 | dismiss, and Defendants will not be required to answer, move to dismiss, or otherwise respond to the | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Complaint until the parties submit a proposed stipulation regarding a schedule for the briefing of | | | |
| 3 | Defendants' motion to dismiss; | | | |
| 4 | 6. If the Court grants Plaintiff's motion to remand, Plaintiff intends to file an amended | | | |
| 5 | complaint within thirty days after entry of an order remanding this action; and | | | |
| 6 | 7. Nothing in this Stipulation shall be construed as a waiver of any of Defendants' righ | | | |
| 7 | or positions in law or equity, or as a waiver of any defenses that Defendants would otherwise have, | | | |
| 8 | 8 including, without limitation, service of process an | including, without limitation, service of process and jurisdictional defenses. | | |
| 9 | 9 IT IS SO STIPULATED. | | | |
| 10 | 10 | | | |
| 11 | Dated: February 12, 2015 Re | spectfully submitted, | | |
| 12 | 12 GG | OODWIN PROCTER LLP | | |
| 13 | 13 | | | |
| 14 | By. <u>787</u> | Brian E. Pastuszenski | | |
| 15 | 15 Teo | ian E. Pastuszenski (<i>pro hac vice</i>) odora Manolova (SBN 233333) | | |
| 16 | 16 | cole L. Chessari (SBN 259970) | | |
| 17 | | orneys for Defendants Xoom Corporation, In Kunze, and Ryno Blignaut | | |
| 18 | | I M D | | |
| 19 | 19 La | Laurence M. Rosen urence M. Rosen (SBN 219683) | | |
| 20 | ²⁰ TH | sen@rosenlegal.com IE ROSEN LAW FIRM, P.A. | | |
| 21 | ²¹ Lo | 5 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2450 s Angeles, CA 90071 | | |
| 22 | | l.: 213.785.2610 x: 213.226.4684 | | |
| 23 | 23 Att | orney for Plaintiff Alexander Liu | | |
| 24 | 24 | | | |
| 25 | 25 | | | |
| 26 | 26 | | | |
| 27 | 27 | | | |
| 28 | 28 | | | |

| 1 | <u>ORDER</u> |
|---------------------------------|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 4 | e u va |
| 5 | Dated: February 13, 2015 The Honorabic Lucy H. Koh United States District Court Judge |
| 6 | United States District Court Judge |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 2425 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |